

C.F. 1002
(2)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

WX.P.No. 1290 2001.

Petitioner : Suresh Kumar Nandanwar,
S/o Shri Hari Nandanwar,
Age 29 years, R/o Kumardah,
Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

Versus.

Respondents 1. Regional Manager,
Krishi Jalvayu Chhetriya Pariyojna,
Raipur.

2. State of Chhattisgarh,

through : Secretary
Agriculture Department,
Mantrolaya,
RAIPUR (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1363/01

Presented by Shri I.K. Jaiswal

dated 30.7.2001

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
OF INDIA.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.:1290/01 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>19.9.2001</u> : Shri T.K.Tiwari for the petitioner. Shri Deep Kesharwani for the State. It is submitted by Shri Kesharwani that the matter pertains to service hence it should be examined and decided by the State Administrative Tribunal. Shri Tiwari submits that this petition has been filed when the Tribunal was not functioning. It is also further submitted that the point to be decided is a very limited point. In fact, the grievance of the writ petitioner is that though he had joined service in 1999, his services have been terminated by order dt. 16.7.2001 (Annexure P-1) simply on the ground that petitioner had submitted false/certificate. No inquiry was made nor was the petitioner given any opportunity of being heard before the impugned order of termination was issued. In the above brief facts and circumstances, I am of the opinion that in stead of sending this matter back to the Tribunal it should be finally disposed of. In the result, this petition is allowed. The impugned order of termination dt. 16.7.2001 issued by the Regional Manager (responder No.1) is set-aside and the petitioner shall be deemed to have been in service continuously. He	

[पीछे देखिये]

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	shall be entitled to his normal salary and allowances all throughout. However, it is open to the competent authority to initiate inquiry against the writ petitioner. In such event the petitioner shall be given every opportunity of hearing. C.c.	Sd/- Chief Justice